This article was downloaded by: On: 18 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Ferm, M.(1993) 'Throughfall Measurements of Nitrogen and Sulphur Compounds', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 50: 1, 29 — 43

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067319308027581 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067319308027581>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

THROUGHFALL MEASUREMENTS OF NITROGEN AND SULPHUR COMPOUNDS

M. FERM

Swedish Environmental Research Institute, P.O. Box 47086, S-402 58 Gothenburg, Sweden.

(Received, 16 December 1991; in.finalform. 23 June 1992)

The wet- and throughfall deposition of nitrogen and sulphur compounds to a spruce forest edge in Sweden was studied using preserved as well as unpreserved collectors. During the wanner part of the year inorganic nitrogen was lost in unpreserved throughfall collectors, if they were not shielded from light. **Several** days of light exposure was needed to transform most of the ammonium, most likely to an organic form. The rate was enhanced by the addition of glucose. High throughfall deposition of organic nitrogen has been found using preserved collectors. The origin of this organic nitrogen in throughfall is not clear, but it seems likely that it comes from transformation of wet and dry deposited ammonium. Rough estimates of the *dry* deposition were made from air concentration measurements inside and outside the forest. The dry deposition of SO₂, HNO₃ and NH₃ was more significant than their particulate forms.

KEY WORDS : Troughfall, preservation, ammonium, nitrate, edge effects, spruce forest.

INTRODUCTION

Diffusion, impaction and sorption are important phenomena when air pollutants are dry deposited. The deposition therefore depends more on the properties of the receptor surface than what is the case for wet deposition (when gravitation is the dominating transport force). The dry deposition is therefore larger on rough surfaces, like forests, than on smooth surfaces. At a forest edge the advection of air can bring in so large amounts of air pollutants, that the dry deposition exceeds the wet deposition. Spruce acts as an efficient filter for air pollutants and is adapted to a low nitrogen level. In this project these two facts were the reason for investigating methods to estimate the dry deposition of nitrogen compounds to a spruce edge.

Throughfall is defined as precipitation that has passed through a tree canopy. The amount of a compound deposited as throughfall (and stem flow) is equal to the *dry-* (including occult-) and wet deposition plus needle ion leakage minus the uptake by the needles or other organisms living on them. There may also be conversions of some compounds into others on the needle surface, but if one considers elements instead of compounds, the interpretation given above is more correct. Provided that the interactions with the canopy are small in

comparison with the total deposition, throughfall measurements can be used to estimate the dry deposition of sulphur **I,'.** If, on the other hand, the interaction processes are important, other techniques have to be used to estimate the *dry* deposition. In such a case a comparison between throughfall and *dry* deposition can be used to study the exchange between the atmosphere and the biosphere. This work is part of a subproject called BIosphere ATmosphere Exchange (BIATEX) within Eurotrac. In this work the throughfall technique has been compared to rough estimates of the dry deposition from air concentration measurements. Throughfall measurements are inexpensive and simple to perform. Electricity is not needed in the forest. The throughfall technique has been used during many years and at many sites in Europe³.

At many places in Europe the atmospheric nitrogen deposition to conifers is higher than the critical load. The critical load for avoiding nutrient imbalance in a coniferous forest has been estimated to 70-85 mmole $m^2yr^{-1/4}$. This deposition is even more enhanced at forest edges and other wind exposed sites even at places having a low average deposition 5,6 . A high nitrogen input makes the trees grow faster, but leads to a poorer strength of the timber. It also favours species like algae, lichens and fungi on the spruce as well as other species in the forest that can stand more nitrogen. It can also displace the balance between different elements that are taken up (e.g. lead to magnesium deficiency). It has also been suggested to be partly responsible for the observed forest dieback in certain areas in Europe '.

EXPERIMENTAL

The sampling site is called Anten and is situated 10 km north west of Alingsas and approximately 60 **km** from the Swedish west Coast (58" OO'N, 12" 26'E) having an altitude of 100 m. The forest stand which is healthy, consists of 50 year old Norway spruce *(Picea abies* (L.) Karst) trees 18-20 m tall. The experiments were carried out in a comer of the forest. One edge is facing south and the other east. Bulk collectors were placed in four rows 0, 10,30 and 60 m from the southern edge i.e. the main wind direction. Each row consisted often collectors with a distance of about 10 m. *5* bulk collectors for precipitation were placed 10 m outside the forest. During the winter months (November - March) when the precipitation occasionally is in the form of snow, polyethylene buckets were used as collectors. During the other months the collectors consisted of a polyethylene funnel (\varnothing = 19 cm) containing a nylon net (mesh size 0.5 mm), that prevents litter fall from entering the sample, which was threaded to the lid of a *5* 1 polyethylene bottle. One series of collectors were dark (painted in black). For convenience disposable soft polyethylene bags were inserted and connected to the bottle by folding it over the threading. Polyethylene bags were also used in the buckets. To every second sampler 0.5 g iodine was added as a preservative before the sampling to avoid growth of microorganisms. During April - October a second set of samplers consisted of non painted (semi transparent) bottles were used. Precipitation and throughfall were collected monthly. An aliquot proportional to the total throughfall volume was taken from all samples from the same row and series, mixed in a bottle and sent to the laboratory. If bird droppings were observed in **a** funnel, this sample was discarded. All funnels were cleaned using a brush and de-ionized water in connection with the collecting of the samples.

Preserved and unpreserved synthetic throughfall solution were stored in closed semi transparent bottles during the warmest months to see if any of the analyzed ions interfered with the materials used. This was not the case.

Preservation of samples

Since the sampling time is so long (one month) and because it had earlier been observed that the ammonium deposition in throughfall is lower than in precipitation during the summer, it was decided to investigate the stability of the samples. Normally precipitation samples are not preserved. No information as to whether it is necessary to preserve throughfall samples could be found in the literature. A preservative was chosen with a low vapour pressure, in order to avoid high losses, and being as a harmless compound as possible to man and nature. The preservative must of course not interfere with the analysis. Iodine was chosen since it met the two criteria mentioned and also because it is known to react rapidly. It is well known that iodine and ammonia can form the highly explosive compound $N_1\cdot N_3\cdot N_4$, but this is not the case during these circumstances. In Australia thymol (5-Methyl-**2(** 1 -methylethyl)phenol) has earlier been used to preserve water samples (Greg Ayers pers. comm.). This compound was used in some experiments.

Sampling techniques for air-borne compounds

The concentrations of the most important air-borne compounds for the *dry* deposition of sulphur and fixed nitrogen were measured 10 m outside the forest edge and **40** m inside (from the southern as well as the eastern edge). Wind speed and wind direction were also measured at the two points. A rough estimate of the *dry* deposition should be made by a mass balance approach in which wind and concentration data is combined with assumptions on how the concentration decreased with the distance from the top of the first row of trees via the top of the last row of trees to the ground under the measuring point in the forest. There was unfortunately a problem with the data logger connected to the wind monitoring device when the air concentrations were measured. $SO₂$ and $HNO₃$ were measured with 75 cm long cylindrical denuders, coated with sodium carbonate 8 . Particulate SO $_4^2$ and NO $_3^2$ were measured on a sodium carbonate impregnated filter behind the denuders. NH₃ and particulate NH $_4^+$ were measured analogously with 50 cm long denuders and filter that were coated and impregnated with oxalic acid '. We have participated with the two denuders in two large European intercomparison campaigns **lo, 'I.** The denuders were mounted in two aluminum boxes. Each box contained sixteen denuders with filters behind and a 60W bulb, to avoid condensation of water and deposition of fog droplets. Each box was mounted on two parallel lift masts so that the lower part of the denuders (the inlets) were 3 m above the ground. The outlet of the filters were connected to four automatic sample changers via thirty two **40** m long polypropylene tubings. The flow was kept constant by mounting glass capillaries between the pumps and the manifolds in the sample changer. The air flows were measured intermittently during **7.5** min every 30 min behind each of the four pumps by an acoustic flow meter connected **to** a personal computer. A high accuracy in the volume measurement was then obtained. Sampling was made during October on a 24 hour basis starting at 06.00 GMT. **NO2** was not measured at the two points, because more units could not be connected to the flow meter. It was assumed that the *dry* deposition of NO2 should be so low that a measurement without a very high precision would be meaningless **12.** The denuders and filters were leached separately in four ml de-ionized water and analyzed using the same technique as for the throughfall.

Analysis

Cl⁻, NO $\frac{1}{3}$ and SO $\frac{2}{4}$ were analyzed using ion chromatography (Dionex AS4A column with a Dionex AMMS-1 membrane suppressor). Iodine did not interfere with the analysis, but a late peak (I) was observed after the chromatogram. Our laboratory runs a large number of samples routineously using an auto sampler. Instead of analyzing the preserved samples separately, a sample containing pure water was placed behind each preserved sample. This dummy was enough to get the high and tailing iodide peak out before the injection of the next sample. Thymol which was used as a preservative in some experiments gave a broad peak near the chloride peak and made the evaluation more difficult. A negative peak before the nitrate peak was also observed. NH $_4^+$ was analyzed using flow injection analysis **13.** Reduced organic nitrogen compounds were analyzed using a variant of the Kjeldahl technique **14.** The sample was then digested together with sulphuric acid, potassium- and copper sulphate, before analysis of its ammonium concentration. The Kjeldahl-N represents the ammonium plus the organic nitrogen compounds that can be transformed to ammonium in the digestion process. Neither of the preservatives interfered with this technique.

Figure 1 Average ratio of throughfall deposition in unpreserved and preserved solutions during 1990.

RESULTS

When the results from dark and semi transparent bottles with and without iodine were compared, it was found that the ammonium and nitrate amounts were often lower in the bottles that were semi transparent and unpreserved than in the others. Such a decrease was never observed for sulphate or chloride. The deposition in the semi transparent bottles that did not contain iodine divided by the deposition in the dark and preserved bottles (the arithmetic averages of all distances) are plotted in Figure 1. There is a high variation in this

Figure 2 Wet and throughfall deposition of ammonium as a function of the distance from the forest edge. The open squares represent unpreserved and the filled preserved bottles. The upper curve represents January **and the lower July 1990.**

Distance	-10	0	10	30	60
Dark:					
unpreserved	18	16	16	13	9
preserved	20	20	14		9
Semi transparent:					
unpreserved	8		10		4
preserved	18	12	14	14	11

Table 1 Throughfall deposition of NH $_4^+$ (mmole m^{-2}) during May - October **1990** measured using different collectors.

ratio, but a clear decrease for both ammonium and nitrate during the brighter and warmer part of the year can be seen. This loss of nitrogen was extreme in July when neither ammonium nor nitrate were found in the unpreserved bottles. The standard deviation when the average of five samples are compared to the average of another set of five samplers in the same row is approximately \pm 15%. The curve for chloride resembles that for sulphate. i.e. the ratio fluctuates around one without any seasonal trend. In Figure 2 two examples are shown (January and July) how the throughfall deposition of ammonium varies with distance from the edge. As can be seen the TD of ammonium is smaller than the wet deposition at all distances during July, even when preserved collectors **are** used. This loss of ammonium can either have been caused by uptake or by transformation to other nitrogen forms. The transformation ability is very high in throughfall during July (see Figure 1). In January the throughfall deposition of ammonium is equal or larger than the wet deposition and the differences between preserved and unpreserved samples are small, and within experimental errors.

The throughfall deposition of ammonium and nitrate during May to October in different type of collectors and at different distances from the edge are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. The loss of ions are more significant for ammonium than for nitrate. The ammonium loss is substantial at the edge and not unimportant in the open field. The results further show that unpreserved bottles can be used if they are kept dark (which is a normal sampling precaution). Before preservative was used, a dark sequential throughfall collector showed extremely small ammonium content in the first millimetres of throughfall. There were unusually high amounts of pollen during that occasion. Therefore and because some light can always reach the sample through the funnel it seems safer to use dark preserved bottles to study the throughfall deposition and transparent bottles to study the nitrogen conversion ability.

Distance	-10	0		30	60
Dark:					
unpreserved	18	41	23	13	10
preserved	17	46	20	10	12
Semi transparent:					
unpreserved	14	25	21		6
preserved	16	31	19	12	13

Table 2 Throughfall deposition of NO $\frac{1}{3}$ (mmole m⁻²) during May - October **1990** measured using different collectors.

The importance of using a net in the funnel was investigated using semi transparent unpreserved bottles with and without a net, during two months (July and August). Higher ammonium concentrations were found when no net was used. There was no difference in the sulphate amounts, but a small increase in the nitrate amounts when a net was not used. The nets provide a good environment for algae and other species. It is exposed to both light and humidity. They often became green. It therefore seems likely that the net enhances the conversion of ammonium in light and unpreserved collectors.

In another experiment 1 g D(+) glucose, which normally is present in throughfall ¹⁵ was added to semi transparent bottles. Unfortunately most ammonium had already disappeared, in the unpreserved bottles without glucose, during this month (September, see Figure 1). When glucose was added both ammonium and nitrate disappeared completely.

Several experiments were carried out in order to find to what compound ammonium and nitrate were transformed. The whole throughfall sample was therefore analysed in a few experiments by placing 100 ml Pyrex test tubes (Kjeldahl tubes), with a small funnel (\varnothing 5 cm) and net attached to the tube, at the forest edge.

After sampling the tubes were sealed using special butyl rubber stoppers and incubated for several days. The N_2O content of the air above the throughfall sample was analysed on several occasions 16 . Acetylene was added to some samples to inhibit the N₂ formation ¹⁷. No increase in N_2O concentration was found in the samples, indicating that the loss by denitrification is less than about 0.01 mmole $m²$ per day. The whole sample, except for a small portion that was needed for the analysis of the other ions, was then used for Kjeldahl analysis. The results from three events are presented in Table 3. When the standard deviation is given behind the figures it means that two or three parallel samples have been taken. Surprisingly no ammonium was lost in the Kjeldahl tubes in June 1990 as it was in the semi transparent bottles. Since it was not known how fast the transformation occurred, the next two samples were exposed to daylight approximately two weeks after they had been capped.

was added as well. (mmole $m2$)						
Date and treatment	mm	NH_4^+	NO_3^-	SO_4^{2-}	CT	org-N
90-06-21						
Unpr.	16±9	1.3 ± 0.7	2.4 ± 0.8	$0.8 + 0.3$	3.7 ± 0.5	11.4 ± 2.3
I ₂	12 ± 8	$1.6{\pm}0.3$	2.8 ± 0.5	$0.8{\pm}0.1$	4.0 ± 0.2	$10.8{\pm}3.3$
$91 - 05 - 06$						
Unpr.	26.4 ± 0.2	$0.8{\pm}0.2$	3.1 ± 0.6	$0.8{\pm}0.1$	$3.0 + 0.5$	3.6 ± 0.2
l2.	$23+5$	1.3 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.0
Th.	$23 + 5$	1.3 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.0	$0.8 + 0.1$	2.9 ± 0.5	3.3 ± 0.1
91-06-04						
Unpr.	17.6 ± 0.4	$0.2{\pm}0.2$	1.5 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.08	10.6 ± 1.6	5.2 ± 0.3
12	14.9±1.3	$0.5{\pm}0.1$	1.5 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.08	8.6 ± 1.2	$3.6{\pm}0.6$
Th.	14.9±1.2	$0.4{\pm}0.03$	1.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.05	8.6 ± 1.1	$3.7 + 0.2$
gl.	15.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	11.6	7.8
gl. + I ₂	11.8	0.4	1.4	0.6	8.1	2.6
gl. + Th.	10.7	0.4	1.4	0.5	6.6	3.1

Table 3 Analysis results from throughfall collected in Pyrex tubes at the forest edge during three occasions. Two different preservatives were used, namely iodine (12) and thymol (Th.). On one occasion D(+) glucose (gl.) was added as well. (mmole m-')

Ammonium was transformed on both these occasions (Table 3). In June 1991 glucose was added to one sample and both ammonium and nitrate disappeared completely. At the last event (9 1-06-04) ammonium analysis were also carried out in the three unpreserved tubes on three occasions after sampling (2 hours, 2 days and 13 days). The average throughfall deposition of NH $_4^+$ on the three occasions were 0.58 (close to the amount in the preserved samples) 0.48 and 0.15 mmole $m²$ respectively. There was, however, almost no loss at all in one of the three samples. **A** high variability in the ammonium transformation rate had already been observed in June 1989, when all the semi transparent throughfall samples were analyzed. The rel. S.D. between preserved samples was then about 20% and between unpreserved about 70%.

Glucose was added to one unpreserved sample before exposure. Ammonium was completely lost in this sample. The NH $_A^*$ amounts found there were 0.47, 0.20 and 0.00 mmole $m²$ respectively at the three different times after the sampling. Nitrate could not be detected in this sample and the amount of organic nitrogen was higher than in the other samples. The organic nitrogen deposition was very high when throughfall was sampled using this technique. It is therefore difficult to conclude whether the lost ammonium was transformed to organic forms quantitatively. The throughfall deposition of organic nitrogen is, however, always higher in the unpreserved tubes. It therefore seems likely that ammonium is transformed to organic compounds in throughfall samples exposed to light.

The concentration of Kjeldahl nitrogen (ammonium plus organic nitrogen) was also analysed in both preserved and unpreserved samples during **8** months, using the ordinary throughfall collectors. The average depositions are given in Table **4.** Results from the individual months show that the throughfall deposition of organic nitrogen is always higher than the wet deposition of organic nitrogen at all distances from the forest edge. There is no evidence that all the lost ammonium is transformed into organic nitrogen quantitatively in this test, because the Kjeldahl nitrogen is smaller in the unpreserved collectors. Micro organisms containing organic nitrogen may stick to the walls, especially in unpreserved samples, and will therefore not be analyzed when a portion is taken out.

A survey of a number of sites in Sweden, Denmark, North Germany and the Netherlands has shown that the amounts of the green alga *(Pleuroccus vulgaris)* on needles increases with the nitrogen deposition and that the algal growth starts earlier when the nitrogen deposition is high **I*.** The province where Anten is situated has the largest amounts of algae

Table 4 Average throughfall deposition of ammonium, organic nitrogen (org.-N) **and their total** (Kj-N) during **eight months (June '89, March, July-Sept, Nov 1990, Jan-Feb '91) in mmole m-'.**

	WD		-TD		NTD	
Distance:	-10	0	10	30	60	
mm	1099	862	793	790	890	-278
SO_4^{2-}	41	130	67	78	61	35
CI	95	768	241	247	194	181
NO_{1}^{-}	43	162	73	55	41	22
NH_4^+	45	87	46	31	28	-6
org.N	8	90	42	52	37	40

Table 5 Wet and throughfall deposition during 1990 (mmole m⁻²yr⁻¹) measured **using dark and preserved collectors at different distances From the edge.**

in Sweden. Lichens are more sensitive to pollution. The amounts of the bladder lichens *(Hypogymniaphysodes)* which is a less sensitive group, was in Sweden lowest on the west coast. A survey has, however, not yet been made at Anten. Only a few studies of microbes in air or precipitation can be found in the literature. Herlihy *et al.* **l9** found that the total number of bacterial cells in precipitation was higher from April to September than during the rest of the year. It has also been found that the number of microorganisms in aerosol particles is smaller during the winter months than during the rest of the year ^{20, 21}.

The total wet and throughfall depositions of water and ions during 1990 as a function of distance from the forest edge are given in Table *5.* The results were obtained using **dark** collectors containing iodine. As can be seen there is a pronounced edge effect for all ions i.e. higher depositions near the edge. The average throughfall deposition (TD) to the whole investigated part of the forest (0 to 60 m) was estimated by drawing straight lines between the measuring points and integrating the area under it and dividing it with the total distance (60 m). The net throughfall deposition (NTD) i.e. the throughfall deposition (TD) minus the wet deposition (WD), should be equal to the dry deposition (DD) if there is no interaction with the vegetation. The stem flow deposition was never measured, but is believed to be small in a spruce stand in comparison to the throughfall deposition. The sulphate deposition has not been corrected for the contribution from sea spray. The NTD of ammonium is almost always negative at 30 and 60 m distance from the edge during all months of the year. It is, however, more negative during the brighter part of the year with a minimum in the summer. At the edge and **10** m inside the forest the NTD of ammonium is positive during winter and spring and only negative during summer and autumn at 10 m. The average NTD of ammonium as a function of the month is shown in Figure 3. The average NTD of nitrate resembles that of ammonium, but the curve is displaced toward higher values (Figure **4).** The disappearance of ammonium has been observed earlier $^{22, 23}$, as well as the edge effect 3 . The air concentrations are given in Table 6 and will be discussed in the next section.

Comparison between the observed NTD and the estimated DD

As mentioned earlier the mass balance technique to estimate the *dry* deposition of nitrogen compounds was not successful in this study, because air measurements could only be performed at one point in the forest and the wind measurements failed in most cases. The

Table 6 Measured concentrations in air (nmole m") during October 1990 and measured and estimated concentrations (at R6rvik) during the whole of 1990. The dry depositions (mmole m⁻²yr⁻¹) during 1990 are calculated from assumptions **given in the text.**

Compound	— Concentration—	Deposition		
	field	forest	1990	1990
SO ₂	34.5	28.9	57	29
part.SO $_4^{2-}$	33.6	32.2	49	6
HNO ₃	8.96	3.90	15	25
part. NO $\frac{1}{3}$	25.0	21.9	26	10
NH ₃	7.62	3.51	22	36
part.NH $_{4}^{+}$	66.4	66.5	55	

measurements (see Table 6), however, can be used to estimate a "relative" deposition velocity for the different air-borne precursors. It was assumed that the concentration difference for all the species was caused by deposition and not by gas-particle interactions. The relative deposition velocity was calculated from the concentration outside the forest minus the concentration in the forest and then divided by the concentration outside the forest. It was highest for **HN03** and NH, **(57%** and **54%** respectively), somewhat lower for *SO2* (16%) and lowest for the particulate forms. This implies that the edge effect in throughfall deposition of sulphate is only to a minor extent caused by a lower concentration inside the forest and to a major extent by a more efficient mass transfer at the edge. Although there are some controversies about the interactions of sulphur species with canopies, many studies have shown that this interaction is negligible for conifers and that the net throughfall deposition thus represents the *dry* deposition, '* '* **²⁴**. The NTD of sulphate was therefore used here **to** calculate the relationship between the air concentration decrease in the forest and the *dry* deposition.

The absolute deposition velocity was then estimated from a comparison between the yearly average SO_2 and particulate SO $_4^2$ concentration, the relative deposition velocity and the NTD of sulphate at Anten according to eq. 1.

$$
\text{[SO}_2]_{1990} \cdot \frac{\text{[SO}_2]_s - \text{[SO}_2]_r}{\text{[SO}_2]_s} \cdot \text{K} + \text{[SO}_4^{2-}]_{1990} \cdot \frac{\text{[SO}_4^{2-}]_s - \text{[SO}_4^{2-}]}{\text{[SO}_4^{2-}]_s} \quad \text{K} = \text{NTD}_{1990} \tag{1}
$$

Index **s** denotes the surroundings (outside the forest) and f inside the forest. The deposition of nitrate and ammonia was calculated using the same constant K obtained in eq. 1 (0.09 m **s-')** and analogous formulas. This way of estimating the deposition requires that all the compounds have a similar deposition pattern. This is not an unreasonable assumption, because they are all mainly deposited to the needles and NH $¹_{4}$, NO $⁷$ and SO $²$ show quite</sup></sup></sup> a similar NTD pattern during the winter. It further requires that their deposition velocities have a similar seasonal variation, which is not entirely proper, because *HNO₃* can be deposited without uptake through stomata. October is, however, not an extreme month with respect to temperature and sun intensity. The value of K is mainly determined by the $SO₂$ concentration gradient, which is intermediate between the most reactive gases and the particles. Both these circumstances make the *dry* deposition estimates less erroneous. If the uptake through stomata and the deposition to other surfaces than stomata varies considerable with the month, the estimated seasonal trend of the deposition (Figures **3-5)** will be wrong. This will generally lead to an overestimation in the winter and an underestimation in the summer.

Measurements of air-borne nitrogen compounds at Anten were only performed in October, but results are available from an EMEP station 75 km south west of Anten (Rörvik). The sum of the gaseous and particulate NH $_4^+$ and NO $_3^-$ concentrations was measured on a daily basis during the whole of **1990** using single impregnated filters *25.* The gaseous fraction of the nitrates were estimated to **36%** of the total concentration using data from an earlier investigation ²⁶. Simultaneous measurements of NH₃ and particulate NH $_4^+$ concentration have only been made occasionally in Sweden²⁷. The NH₃ concentration has been measured on a daily basis during two years at Ekeröd and during one year at Rörvik. At both stations the ammonia concentration followed the temperature and showed a minimum in winter (\approx 2 nmole m³) and a maximum in summer (\approx 50 nmole m³). These results have been used to estimate the monthly ammonia concentrations at Anten. SO_2 and particulate SO $_4^2$ concentrations were also taken from Rörvik.

The average deposition velocities to the forest edge *(0* - **60** m) using this approximation The average deposition velocities to the forest edge $(0 - 60 \text{ m})$ using this approximation is for HNO₃, NH₃ and SO₂: 5.1, 4.9 and 1.5 cm s⁻¹ respectively, for particulate SO $_4^{2-}$ 0.4 cm is for HNO₃, NH₃ and SO₂: 5.1, 4.9 and 1.5 cm s⁻¹ respectively, for particulate SO $_4^{2-}$ 0.4 cm s⁻¹ (the same magnitude as the experimental error) and for particulate NO $_3^-$ 1.1 cm/s. The NH_4^* concentration inside the forest was slightly higher than on the outside. The same deposition velocity for particulate NH $_4^*$ as for particulate SO $_4^{2-}$ was therefore used. All the estimated and measured average concentrations are given in Table **6.**

 $NO₂$ can also be deposited to conifers. Johansson \overline{P} found that the dry deposition rate per projected needle area of $NO₂$ to Scots Pine is 1-2 mm $s⁻¹$ during the day and 0.05 - 0.2 mm $s⁻¹$ during the night, at NO₂ concentrations larger than 400 nmole m⁻³ and zero below this concentration. The $NO₂$ concentration at Rörvik was 160 nmole m⁻³. Granat and Hällgren ²⁸ have exposed a Scots Pine forest to $NO₂$, but found no increased TD of nitrate. It is therefore believed that the dry deposition of $NO₂$ was negligible for the throughfall composition at Anten.

When the net throughfall deposition (Table *5)* is compared to the estimated dry deposition (Table 6), quite reasonable values are obtained for NO $\frac{1}{2}$, considering that the dry depositions estimated in this way are very rough (22 of estimated 35 mmole m^2yr^{-1} were found). It is, however, obvious that the throughfall technique cannot be used to estimate low depositions of ammonium $(-6 \text{ of } 43 \text{ mmole m}^2 \text{yr}^1)$ have been found). If, however, the organic nitrogen that was found mainly originates from dry deposited NH $_{4}^{*}$, a better agreement is obtained $(34$ of 43 mmole m⁻²yr⁻¹).

DISCUSSION

The throughfall measurements have shown that ammonium and nitrate ions are partly lost in the canopy during the brighter and warmer part ofthe year and that the ability to transform **40** M. **FERM**

Figure 3 Monthly average net throughfall deposition of NH $_4^+$ and organic nitrogen together with estimated (see text) total dry deposition of NH₃ and particulate NH $\frac{1}{4}$ (mmole m^{-2}) during 1990.

Figure 4 Monthly average net throughfall deposition of NO $_3^7$ together with estimated (see text) total dry deposition of HNO_3 and particulate NO_3^- (mmole m^{-2}) during 1990.

these ions remain after the rain has left the canopy. Throughfall measurements of NH $_4^+$ and NO_3^- can consequently not be used to estimate the *dry deposition* of the precursors for ammonium and nitrate when the ability to transform the nitrogen species is high compared to the deposition.

If one assumes that the dry deposition of nitrogen compounds have the same distribution with distance as the observed NTD of SO $_4^2$, then the difference between the observed NTD and the calculated DD is positive at the edge, ≈ 0 at 10 m and negative at 30 and 60 m for both NH⁺ and NO⁻₃ during 1990. This can either be interpreted that the dry deposition of the nitrogen compounds have a steeper slope with distance than SO $_4^2$ (which is reasonable considering the observed relative deposition velocities), or that the uptake of nitrogen compounds is higher inside the forest. The precipitation and throughfall deposition as a function of distance gives some information on the origin of the compound. A compound originating from the atmosphere shows a much higher deposition at the edge compared to further in, in the forest. A compound that mainly is recirculated within the forest has a much higher deposition in the forest than outside it (in precipitation). Na⁺ and SO $^{2-}_{4}$ have typical patterns for atmospheric origin while **K'** and Mn are good examples of internal circulation. The sum of NH $_4^*$ and organic deposition shows a pattern that is typical for atmospheric origin.

The seasonal variation of the NTD and the estimated dry deposition are given for all three species in Figures **3-5.** As can be seen from the Figures most species have a maximum NTD in the winter and a minimum in the summer. The very high NTD in the winter may be caused by the very high precipitation amounts at that time. The estimated DD of NH $_4^*$ show an opposite pattern and resembles the NTD of organic nitrogen.

Figure 5 Monthly average net throughfall deposition of SO $\frac{2}{4}$ deposition of SO₂ and particulate SO $\frac{2}{4}$ (mmole m⁻²) during 1990.

42 M. FERM

Since the annual variations in the estimated depositions are for all species so very different **from** the observed net throughfall deposition, it seems likely that throughfall measurements cannot be used to estimate the dry deposition during shorter periods. It can further not be used to estimate the total deposition of ammonium at this site. During the summer when more NH $_4^+$ has disappeared in throughfall than corresponding to the dry deposition, the throughfall deposition of organic nitrogen species is very high, roughly corresponding to the missing ammonium. The linkage between these two fluxes will be the subject of future investigations. In another study in Sweden the TD of ammonium to a forest, situated near a chicken farm, was 3 - **4** times higher than the WD, in the summer (Ferm unpublished). The observed negative NTD of **NH** *f* at Anten is most likely only representative for forests having a small *dry* deposition.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Peringe Grennfelt for valuable discussions and John Halonen, Marie-Louise MAnsson, Karin Persson, Jan Tobisson, Pia Carlsson, Inger Torbrink and Kerstin Hommerberg for collecting the samples and making the analysis. Financial support has been received from the Swedish Environmental Research Institute and the National Environmental Protection Board.

References

- 1. P. Grennfelt, S. Larsson, P. Leyton and B. Olsson. Atmospheric deposition in the lake Ghdsj6n **area,** SW Sweden. Ecological Bulletins 37 (ed F. Andersson and B. Olsson Stockholm, 1985) pp 101-108.
- 2. H. Hultberg. Budgets of base cations, chloride, nitrogen and sulphur in the acid Lake Gårdsjön catchment, SW Sweden Ecological Bulletins 37 (ed F. Andersson and B. Olsson, Stockholm, 1985) pp 133-157
- 3. W. Ivens. Atmospheric deposition onto forests. Ph.D. Thesis University of Utrecht. (1990)
- 4. J. Nilsson and P. Grennfelt. Critical loads for sulphur and nitrogen. Report from a workshop held at **Skokloster** (Sweden 19-24 March 1988)
- **5.** B. Hasselrot and P. Grennfelt.Wuter, *AirandSoilPollut.* 34,135-143 (1986)
- 6. P. Grennfelt and B. Hasselrot The deposition of ammonium and nitrate to forest edges. Proc. EURASAP symposium on ammonia and acidification. Bilthoven (The Netherlands, 13-15 April, 1987) pp 124-148
- 7. B. Nihlgkd, *Ambio* 14,2-8 (1985)
- *8.* M. Ferm.Atmos. Environ.20, 1193-1201 (1986)
- 9. M. Ferm. *Amos. Environ.* 13, 1385-1393 (1979)
- 10. I. Allegrini, A. Febo and C. Perrino Field intercomparison exercise **on** nitric acid and nitrate measurement Methods and **data.** (Commission of European Communities. Air Pollution Research Report 22,1988) 234 pp
- 11. I. Allegrini, A. Febo and C. Perrino. Field intercomparison exercise on ammonia and ammonium measurement. (Commission of European Communities. Air Pollution Research Report 37,1991) 225 pp
- 12. C. Johansson. *Tellus* 39B, 426-438 (1987)
- 13. G. Svensson and T. Anfält. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 119, 7-14 (1982)
- 14. J. Kjeldahl. *Fraenius Z. Anal. Chem.* 22,366-382 (1883)
- 15. I. Alenils and L. Shby. *Water, Air and SoilPollut.* 38,223-237 (1988)
- 16. L. Klemedtsson, **S.** Simkins and B. H. Svensson. *J. Chromatog.* 361,107-1 16 (1986)
- 17. T. Yoshinary, R. Hynes and **R.** Knowles. *Soil Eiol. Eiochem.* 9, 177-1 83 (1977)
- 18. A. G6ransson. Algae, lichen and number of needle year classes on young spruce along a nitrogen gradient from Sweden to the Netherlands-a pilot **study** (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency report 3741,1990) (in Swedish).

THROUGHFALL MEASUREMENTS **43**

- **19.** L. J. Herlihy, J. N. Galloway and A. L. Mills. *Armos. Environ.* **11,2397-2402 (1987)**
- **20.** A. Bolvallius, B. Bucht, R. Roffey and P. *ks. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **35,847-852 (1978)**
- **21,** B. **L.** Jones and J. T. Cookson. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **45,919-934 (1983)**
- **22.** A. Carlisle, A. H. F. Brown and E. J. White. *J.* Ecology **54,87-98 (1966)**
- **23.** P. Grennfelt and H. Hultberg. *Water. Air and Soil Pollut.* **30,945-963 (1986)**
- **24. S. E.** Lindberg and C. T. Jr. Garten. *Nature 336,* **148-151 (1988)**
- **25.** M. Ferm, H. Areskoug, J-E. Hanssen, G. Hilbert and H. LBttilB. *Armos. Environ.* **22,2275-2281 (1988)**
- **26.** M. Ferm, **U.** Samuelsson, **A.** Sjiidin and P. Grennfelt. *Armos. Environ.* **18, 1731-1735 (1984)**
- **27.** M. Ferm. Proc. on Physico-Chemical Behaviour of Atmospheric Pollutants Cost **61** I, Villefranche sur Mer, France, **3-4** May **1988** (eds. Beilke, J. Morelli J. and G. Angeletti) pp **4-13**
- 28. L. Granat and J-E. Hällgren. *Environ. Pollut.* **75,** 237-242 (1992)